

No. 11(112)-89-3Lab./19012.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Acropolymerce Private Limited, Khandsa Road, Gurgaon.

BEFORE SHRI ISHWAR PARSHAD CHAUDHRY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 223 of 1980

between

SHRI KANWAR PALL SINGH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ACROPOLYMERCE PRIVATE LIMITED, KHANDSA ROAD, GURGAON

Present:—

Shri Shardha Nand, for the workman.

Shri M. P. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

This reference No. 223 of 1980 has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—*vide* his order No. ID/GGN/10-89/19428, dated 25th April, 1980 under section 10 (i) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Kanwar Pall Singh, workman and the management of M/s Acropolymerce Private Limited, Khandsa Road, Gurgaon. The term of the reference was:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Kanwar Pall Singh was justified and in order ?
If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed on 25th June, 1980 :—

- (1) Whether the applicant has resigned of his own accord and received full and final which amounted to abandonment of service ? If so, to what effect ?
- (2) Whether the termination of services of the workman was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?
- (3) Relief.

The case was fixed for the evidence of the management. The management examined Shri S. K. Jain, Director as M.W. 1, Shri Sat Bhushan, Supervisor as M.W. 2 and Shri Sat Dev Sharma, Manager of the respondent Company as M.W. 3 and closed their case on 15th July, 1980. Then the case was fixed for the evidence of the workman for 29th July, 1980. On the last date of hearing, the representative of the workman Shri Sardha Nand made a statement that he had no instructions from the workman concerned despite his informing him in this case. He also stated that he had withdrawn this reference. He further stated that he was no more interested in the dispute and did not want to pursue this reference now.

As neither the workman himself nor his authorised representative were interested in pursuing the dispute, therefore, I give my award that there exists no dispute between the parties on the issues so referred to this court for adjudication. I thus answer the reference while returning the award in these terms. No order as to costs.

ISHWAR PARSHAD CHAUDHARY,

Dated the 22nd August, 1980.

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 1574, dated 25th August, 1980

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, with the request that the receipt of the above said award may please be acknowledged within week's time.

ISHWAR PARSHAD CHAUDHRY,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.